This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

□ BLACK BORDERS
□ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
□ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
□ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
□ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
□ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
□ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
□ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
□ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY
□ OTHER:

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/835,398	04/17/2001	Peter Michael Wright	08215-415001 / P03-026035	5158	
26171	7590 09/01/2004	•	EXAM	INER	
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.			BONURA, T	BONURA, TIMOTHY M	
1425 K STRE 11TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	ON, DC 20005-3500		2114	<u> </u>	

DATE MAILED: 09/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
*					
Office Action Summary	09/835,398	WRIGHT ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Tim Bonura	2114			
Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	ion espendence dadress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 June 2004. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 					
Disposition of Claims		·			
4) Claim(s) 1-53 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6,8,9,11,13-31,33,34,36,38-51 and 7) Claim(s) 7,10,12,32,35,37 and 52 is/are object 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	wn from consideration. 53 is/are rejected. red to. r election requirement.	by the Evaminer			
10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 April 2001</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-6, 8-9, 11, 13-31, 33-34, 36, 38-51, and 53 are rejected under 35
U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carter, U.S. Patent Number
6,298,449 and further in view of Fowler, et al, U.S. Patent Number 6,714,977.

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments see Reply to Action of March 8, 2004, filed 06/10/2004, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 16-53 under 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Fowler, et al, U.S. Patent Number 6,714,977.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-6, 8-9, 11, 13-31, 33-34, 36, 38-51, and 53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carter, U.S. Patent Number 6,298,449 and further in view of Fowler, et al, U.S. Patent Number 6,738,781.
- 4. Regarding claim 1:

- a. Regarding the limitation of "a power system interface circuit for communicating with the power system," Carter discloses a system with a power supply controller connected to a power supply. (Items 54 and 16 in Figure 3).
- b. Regarding the limitation of "a processor coupled to the power system interface circuit," Carter discloses a system that has an integrated reliability enhancement device adapted for cooperative interaction with a host computer. (Lines 65-66 of Column 1, also see Items 54 and 60 in Figure 3).
- c. Regarding the limitation of "memory storing software instructions performed by the processor for receiving electronic mail from a remote system through a communication link and for automatically transmitting electronic mail to the remote system through the communication link," Carter teaches of a system that communicates with a power source via an SNMP message and stores them in error logs. Carter does not teach of communicating messages via email. Fowler discloses a system wherein an SNMP message can be converted into an electronic mail message. (Lines 10-15 of Column 3). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the references of Carter with the message conversion of Fowler. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill because Carter discloses the need for a direct controller to LAN system connection. (Lines 16-21 of Column 3). Fowler would provide a direct connection between an equipment monitoring and network systems via email. (Lines 16-21 of Column 3 also Lines 15-18 of Column 4).

Art Unit: 2114

5. Regarding claim 2, Carter discloses a system wherein the SNMP messages contain information regarding operating status of power supplies. (Lines 49-53 of Column 2).

- 6. Regarding claim 3, Carter discloses a system wherein data representative of an event can be written to an log and an application software can be configured to enable notification capabilities, of which include SNMP messaging. (Lines 41-42 and 64-67 of Column 2).
- 7. Regarding claim 4, Carter discloses a with failure detection of a power supply and also a system that can control a power system that can enable a secondary power source.
- 8. Regarding claim 5, Carter discloses a system that has a register on the integrated reliability enhancement device. The register stores data regarding specific events that can be sent via messaging. (Lines 60-63 of Column 2).
- 9. Regarding claim 6, Carter discloses that the register can store specific event data. (Lines 63 of Column 2).
- 10. Regarding claim 8, Carter discloses application software on a host computer that can process data of status from devices. (Lines 35-41 of Column 2). The status data is read from a register. The message data from the devices stored on the register contains event data. (Lines 62-63 of Column 2).
- Regarding claim 9, Carter discloses a system with application software. (Lines 35-41 of Column 2). The application software can process SNMP messages contain information regarding operating status of power supplies. (Lines 49-53 of Column 2).

- Regarding claim 11, Carter discloses a system with application software (Lines 35-41 of Column 2), which can process SNMP messages with commands. (Lines 63-67 of Column 2). The system allows for
- 13. Regarding claim 13, Fowler discloses a system uses electronic mail to notify a manager of an event. It is inherent the email can be written in ASCII text.
- 14. Regarding claim 14, Fowler discloses a system uses electronic mail to notify a manager of an event. It is inherent the email can be written in HTML text.
- 15. Regarding claim 15, Fowler discloses a system uses electronic mail to notify a manager of an event. It is inherent the email can be written in XML text.
- 16. Regarding claim 16, Fowler discloses a system uses electronic mail to notify a manager of an event. It is inherent the email can have attachments. It is also inherent that email attachments can be of any encoding type.
- 17. Regarding claim 17, Fowler discloses a system uses electronic mail to notify a manager of an event. It is inherent the email application can interpret an email contains an attachment.
- 18. Regarding claim 18, Fowler discloses a system uses electronic mail to notify a manager of an event. It is inherent the email can have attachments. It is also inherent that email attachments can be of any encoding type.
- 19. Regarding claim 19, Fowler discloses a system uses electronic mail to notify a manager of an event. It is inherent the email application can interpret an email contains an attachment.

- 20. Regarding claim 20, Fowler discloses a system uses electronic mail to notify a manager of an event. It is inherent the email application can format data so that is can be transmitted within an email.
- 21. Regarding claim 21, Fowler discloses a system the can send electronic mail to a remote system. (Lines 5-10 of the abstract).
- Regarding claim 22, Carter discloses a system that transmits the data to a remote computer for viewing. (Lines 42-51 of Column 4).
- 23. Regarding claim 23, Fowler discloses a system that can send email with SMTP. (Lines 5-7 of the Abstract).
- 24. Regarding claim 24, Carter discloses a system in which temperature data of a power system is stored in memory. (Lines 12-14 of Column 5).
- 25. Regarding claim 25, Carter discloses a system that has a register on the integrated reliability enhancement device. The register stores data regarding specific events that can be sent via messaging. (Lines 60-63 of Column 2).
- 26. Regarding claim 26:
 - d. Regarding the limitation of "an intelligent electronic device connected to the power system," Carter discloses a system that has an integrated reliability enhancement device adapted for cooperative interaction with a host computer.

 (Lines 65-66 of Column 1, also see Items 54 and 60 in Figure 3).
 - e. Regarding the limitation of "a system remote from the intelligent electronic device and connected to the intelligent electronic device through a communication link," Carter discloses a system that transmits the data to a remote computer for viewing. (Lines 42-51 of Column 4).

Art Unit: 2114

f. Regarding the limitation of "a power system interface circuit for communicating with the power system," Carter discloses a system with a power supply controller connected to a power supply. (Items 54 and 16 in Figure 3).

- g. Regarding the limitation of "a processor," Carter discloses a system with a processor. (Figure 3, item 60).
- h. Regarding the limitation of "memory storing software instructions performed by the processor for receiving electronic mail from a remote system through a communication link and for automatically transmitting electronic mail to the remote system through the communication link," Carter teaches of a system that communicates with a power source via an SNMP message and stores them in error logs. Carter does not teach of communicating messages via email. Fowler discloses a system wherein an SNMP message can be converted into an electronic mail message. (Lines 10-15 of Column 3). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the references of Carter with the message conversion of Fowler. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill because Carter discloses the need for a direct controller to LAN system connection. (Lines 16-21 of Column 3). Fowler would provide a direct connection between an equipment monitoring and network systems via email. (Lines 16-21 of Column 3 also Lines 15-18 of Column 4).
- 27. Regarding claim 27, Carter discloses a system wherein the SNMP messages contain information regarding operating status of power supplies. (Lines 49-53 of Column 2).

Art Unit: 2114

28. Regarding claim 28, Carter discloses a system wherein data representative of an event can be written to an log and an application software can be configured to enable notification capabilities, of which include SNMP messaging. (Lines 41-42 and 64-67 of Column 2).

- 29. Regarding claim 29, Carter discloses a with failure detection of a power supply and also a system that can control a power system that can enable a secondary power source.
- Regarding claim 30, Carter discloses a system that has a register on the integrated reliability enhancement device. The register stores data regarding specific events that can be sent via messaging. (Lines 60-63 of Column 2).
- 31. Regarding claim 31, Carter discloses that the register can store specific event data. (Lines 63 of Column 2).
- Regarding claim 33, Carter discloses application software on a host computer that can process data of status from devices. (Lines 35-41 of Column 2). The status data is read from a register. The message data from the devices stored on the register contains event data. (Lines 62-63 of Column 2).
- Regarding claim 34, Carter discloses a system with application software. (Lines 35-41 of Column 2). The application software can process SNMP messages contain information regarding operating status of power supplies. (Lines 49-53 of Column 2).
- Regarding claim 36, Carter discloses a system with application software (Lines 35-41 of Column 2), which can process SNMP messages with commands. (Lines 63-67 of Column 2). The system allows for

- 35. Regarding claim 38, Fowler discloses a system uses electronic mail to notify a manager of an event. It is inherent the email can be written in ASCII text.
- 36. Regarding claim 39, Fowler discloses a system uses electronic mail to notify a manager of an event. It is inherent the email can be written in HTML text.
- 37. Regarding claim 40, Fowler discloses a system uses electronic mail to notify a manager of an event. It is inherent the email can be written in XML text.
- Regarding claim 41, Fowler discloses a system uses electronic mail to notify a manager of an event. It is inherent the email can have attachments. It is also inherent that email attachments can be of any encoding type.
- 39. Regarding claim 42, Fowler discloses a system uses electronic mail to notify a manager of an event. It is inherent the email application can interpret an email contains an attachment.
- 40. Regarding claim 43, Fowler discloses a system uses electronic mail to notify a manager of an event. It is inherent the email can have attachments. It is also inherent that email attachments can be of any encoding type.
- Regarding claim 44, Fowler discloses a system uses electronic mail to notify a manager of an event. It is inherent the email application can interpret an email contains an attachment.
- Regarding claim 45, Fowler discloses a system uses electronic mail to notify a manager of an event. It is inherent the email application can format data so that is can be transmitted within an email.

- Regarding claim 46, Fowler discloses a system the can send electronic mail to a remote system. (Lines 5-10 of the abstract). Fowler discloses a system that can send email with SMTP. (Lines 5-7 of the Abstract).
- 44. Regarding claim 47, Carter discloses a system that transmits the data to a remote computer for viewing. (Lines 42-51 of Column 4).
- 45. Regarding claim 48:
 - i. Regarding the limitation of "a power system interface circuit for communicating with the power system," Carter discloses a system with a power supply controller connected to a power supply. (Items 54 and 16 in Figure 3).
 - j. Regarding the limitation of "a processor coupled to the power system interface circuit," Carter discloses a system that has an integrated reliability enhancement device adapted for cooperative interaction with a host computer. (Lines 65-66 of Column 1, also see Items 54 and 60 in Figure 3).
 - k. Regarding the limitation of "memory storing software instructions performed by the processor for receiving instant message from a remote system through a communication link and for automatically transmitting instant message to the remote system through the communication link," Carter teaches of a system that communicates with a power source via an SNMP message and stores them in error logs. Carter does not teach of communicating messages via email. Fowler discloses a system wherein an electronic mail message can be converted into a SNMP message. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the references of Carter with the message conversion of Fowler. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the

Art Unit: 2114

art at the time of the invention to combine the references of Carter with the message conversion of Fowler. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill because Carter discloses the need for a direct controller to LAN system connection. (Lines 16-21 of Column 3). Fowler would provide a direct connection between a power supplies and LAN systems via email. (Lines 5-9 of the abstract).

46. Regarding claim 49:

- 1. Regarding the limitation of "a power system interface circuit for communicating with the power system," Carter discloses a system with a power supply controller connected to a power supply. (Items 54 and 16 in Figure 3).
- m. Regarding the limitation of "a processor coupled to the power system interface circuit," Carter discloses a system that has an integrated reliability enhancement device adapted for cooperative interaction with a host computer. (Lines 65-66 of Column 1, also see Items 54 and 60 in Figure 3).
- n. Regarding the limitation of "memory storing software instructions performed by the processor for receiving electronic mail from a remote system through a communication link," Carter teaches of a system that communicates with a power source via an SNMP message and stores them in error logs. Carter does not teach of communicating messages via email. Fowler discloses a system wherein an SNMP message can be converted into an electronic mail message. (Lines 10-15 of Column 3). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the references of Carter with the message conversion of Fowler. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary

skill because Carter discloses the need for a direct controller to LAN system connection. (Lines 16-21 of Column 3). Fowler would provide a direct connection between an equipment monitoring and network systems via email. (Lines 16-21 of Column 3 also Lines 15-18 of Column 4).

47. Regarding claim 50:

- o. Regarding the limitation of "a power system interface circuit for communicating with the power system," Carter discloses a system with a power supply controller connected to a power supply. (Items 54 and 16 in Figure 3).
- p. Regarding the limitation of "a processor coupled to the power system interface circuit," Carter discloses a system that has an integrated reliability enhancement device adapted for cooperative interaction with a host computer. (Lines 65-66 of Column 1, also see Items 54 and 60 in Figure 3).
- q. Regarding the limitation of "memory storing software instructions performed by the processor for receiving electronic mail to the remote system through the communication link," Carter teaches of a system that communicates with a power source via an SNMP message and stores them in error logs. Carter does not teach of communicating messages via email. Fowler discloses a system wherein an SNMP message can be converted into an electronic mail message. (Lines 10-15 of Column 3). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the references of Carter with the message conversion of Fowler. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill because Carter discloses the need for a direct controller to LAN system connection. (Lines 16-21 of Column 3). Fowler would provide a direct

Art Unit: 2114

connection between an equipment monitoring and network systems via email.

(Lines 16-21 of Column 3 also Lines 15-18 of Column 4).

48. Regarding claim 51:

- r. Regarding the limitation of "a first code segment to receive input from a power system relating to operation of the power system," Carter discloses a system with a power supply controller that receives information from the power supply concerning events. (Lines 3-5 of Column 3).
- s. Regarding the limitation of "a second code segment to send output to the power system to operate a fault protection device when input received from the power system indicates a fault event in the power system," Carter discloses a system can enable a second power supply upon detection failure of the first power supply. (Lines 5-8 of Column 3).
- t. Regarding the limitation of "a third code segment to receive electron mail from a remote system through a communication link," Carter teaches of a system that communicates with a power source via an SNMP message and stores them in error logs. Carter does not teach of communicating messages via email. Fowler discloses a system wherein an SNMP message can be converted into an electronic mail message. (Lines 10-15 of Column 3). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the references of Carter with the message conversion of Fowler. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill because Carter discloses the need for a direct controller to LAN system connection. (Lines 16-21 of Column 3). Fowler would provide a direct

Art Unit: 2114

connection between an equipment monitoring and network systems via email. (Lines 16-21 of Column 3 also Lines 15-18 of Column 4).

49. Regarding claim 53:

- u. Regarding the limitation of "a first code segment to receive input from a power system relating to operation of the power system," Carter discloses a system with a power supply controller that receives information from the power supply concerning events. (Lines 3-5 of Column 3).
- v. Regarding the limitation of "a second code segment to send output to the power system to operate a fault protection device when input received from the power system indicates a fault event in the power system," Carter discloses a system can enable a second power supply upon detection failure of the first power supply. (Lines 5-8 of Column 3).
- w. Regarding the limitation of "a third code segment to receive electron mail to a remote system through a communication link," Carter teaches of a system that communicates with a power source via an SNMP message and stores them in error logs. Carter does not teach of communicating messages via email. Fowler discloses a system wherein an SNMP message can be converted into an electronic mail message. (Lines 10-15 of Column 3). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the references of Carter with the message conversion of Fowler. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill because Carter discloses the need for a direct controller to LAN system connection. (Lines 16-21 of Column 3). Fowler would provide a direct

Art Unit: 2114

connection between an equipment monitoring and network systems via email. (Lines 16-21 of Column 3 also Lines 15-18 of Column 4).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 7, 10, 12, 32, 35, 37, and 52 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications
 from the examiner should be directed to **Tim Bonura**.
 - o The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri: 8:30-5:00.
 - The examiner can <u>currently</u> be reached at: 703-305-7762. <u>On or after October 15, 2004</u> the examiner can be reached at: 571-272-3654.
 - If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, please contact the examiner's supervisor, **Rob Beausoliel.**
 - o The supervisor can be reached on 703-305-9713.
- The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are:
 - o 703-872-9306 for all patent related correspondence by FAX.
- Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information

Art Unit: 2114

for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pairdirect uspto.gov/. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

- Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the **receptionist** whose telephone number is: 703-305-3900.
 - Responses should be mailed to:
 - **Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks**

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Tim Bonura Examiner Art Unit 2114

tmb

August 23, 2004